Cattaneo-Vernotte bio-heat transfer equation. Identification of external heat flux and relaxation time in domain of heated skin tissue
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A cylindrical skin tissue domain subjected to an external heat flux is considered. Thermal processes in the domain considered are described by the Cattaneo-Vernotte equation supplemented by the appropriate boundary and initial conditions. The aim of considerations is the identification of external heat flux and relaxation time on the basis of ‘measured’ heating/cooling curves at the set of selected points located on the surface of the skin. The direct problem is solved using the implicit scheme of the Finite Difference Method (FDM), while at the stage of the inverse problem solution, the evolutionary algorithm is applied. In the final part of the paper the examples of computations are presented.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Thermal processes occurring in domain of biological tissue can be described in the different ways. The first model was presented in 1948 by Pennes [1]. The well-known parabolic Pennes equation contains the volumetric internal heat sources connected with the perfusion and metabolism. The basic assumption leading to the adopted form of the perfusion heat source is that the tissue domain is supplied by a large number of capillary blood vessels uniformly distributed in the domain considered. The metabolic heat source is often treated as a constant value (but different for different behaviors of an individual).

Recently there has been a view that, taking into account the specific internal structure of a tissue, the hyperbolic type equations better than parabolic ones reproduce the actual course of the thermal processes taking place in the domain considered, e.g. [2–5]. In this place the Cattaneo-Vernotte equation (CVE) [6] and the dual phase lag equation can be mentioned.

The final form of the CVE results from the generalization of the Fourier law consisting in the introduction of the lag time between heat flux and temperature gradient (see: next section). In this way the effect of the finite velocity of thermal wave propagation is taken into account. The relaxation time appearing in CVE in the case of biological tissue is of the order of few to the several seconds (e.g. [7, 8]). The examples of CVE applications in the scope of bio-heat transfer can be found (among others) in [9–12].
The dual phase lag equation (DPLE) contains two lag times called ‘a relaxation time’ and ‘a thermalization time’. This form of the energy equation is also used in the problems related to bio-heat transfer. Here, for example, the papers [13–15] may be mentioned. The basic problem when using this type of bio-heat transfer model is the selection of the right values of delay times, because in the literature the quoted quantities differ greatly among themselves.

At the stage of numerical modeling the Finite Difference Method (FDM) is applied. This method has been successfully used many times to solve the tasks based on the hyperbolic type equations, e.g. [16–18]. The authorial program concerning the direct problem solution is based on the three level implicit scheme of FDM for the objects oriented in the cylindrical co-ordinates. The system of equations associated with the transition from time \( t \) to time \( t + \Delta t \) is solved using the Gaussian iteration method.

The inverse problem discussed in this paper consists of the two parameters identification, in particular the boundary condition given in the part of the upper surface of the system (the Neumann boundary condition) and the relaxation time occurring in the energy equation. The task formulated in this way is solved using the evolutionary algorithm (EA) – see: [19–23].

2. Governing Equations

A start point leading to the Cattaneo-Vernotte equation is the generalized form of the Fourier law, namely

\[
q(X, t + \tau_q) = -\lambda \nabla T(X, t),
\]

where \( q \) is a heat flux, \( \lambda \) is a thermal conductivity, \( \tau_q \) is the relaxation time, \( X = \{r, z\} \) and \( t \) denote the spatial co-ordinates and time. In this way the finite velocity of thermal wave and ‘delay time’ of heat flux with respect to temperature gradient is taken into account.

The well-known energy equation

\[
c \frac{\partial T(r, z, t)}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot q(r, z, t),
\]

where \( c \) is a volumetric specific heat, it can be transformed to the form of CVE when the first-order approximation of formula (1) is applied

\[
q(r, z, t) + \tau_q \frac{\partial q(r, z, t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda \nabla T(r, z, t)
\]

or

\[
-q(r, z, t) = \tau_q \frac{\partial q(r, z, t)}{\partial t} + \lambda \nabla T(r, z, t).
\]

This expression should be introduced to Eq. (2) and then

\[
c \frac{\partial T(r, z, t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\tau_q}{\partial t} \left[ \nabla q(r, z, t) \right] + \nabla \left[ \lambda \nabla T(r, z, t) \right].
\]

Substituting \(-\nabla q\) by \( c(\partial T/\partial t)\) one obtains

\[
c \left[ \frac{\partial T(r, z, t)}{\partial t} + \tau_q \frac{\partial^2 T(r, z, t)}{\partial t^2} \right] = \nabla \left[ \lambda \nabla T(r, z, t) \right].
\]

As mentioned previously, in the case of bio-heat problems the Cattaneo-Vernotte equation contains the components connected with the perfusion and metabolism. In the presence of internal heat sources \( Q(r, z, t) \) within the tissue domain Eq. (6) takes a form

\[
c \left[ \frac{\partial T(r, z, t)}{\partial t} + \tau_q \frac{\partial^2 T(r, z, t)}{\partial t^2} \right] = \nabla \left[ \lambda \nabla T(r, z, t) \right] + Q(r, z, t) + \tau_q \frac{\partial Q(r, z, t)}{\partial t}.
\]
Considering the axially-symmetrical task and assuming the constant value of thermal conductivity one has

\[
c \left[ \frac{\partial T(r,z,t)}{\partial t} + \tau_q \frac{\partial^2 T(r,z,t)}{\partial t^2} \right] = \frac{\lambda}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r \frac{\partial T(r,z,t)}{\partial r} \right]
\]
\[
+ \lambda \frac{\partial^2 T(r,z,t)}{\partial z^2} + Q(r,z,t) + \tau_q \frac{\partial Q(r,z,t)}{\partial t}.
\]

(8)

The internal heat source according to the Pennes theory [1] is a sum of two components

\[
Q(r,z,t) = G_B c_B [T_B - T(r,z,t)] + Q_{\text{met}},
\]

(9)

where \( G_B \) [m³ blood/m³ tissue/s] is a perfusion coefficient, \( c_B \) is a volumetric specific heat of blood, \( T_B \) is an arterial blood temperature, \( Q_{\text{met}} \) is a metabolic heat source (treated here as a constant value). Equation (8) can be rewritten in the form

\[
\left( 1 + \frac{\tau_q G_B c_B}{c} \right) \frac{\partial T(r,z,t)}{\partial t} + \tau_q \frac{\partial^2 T(r,z,t)}{\partial t^2}
\]
\[
+ a \frac{\partial^2 T(r,z,t)}{\partial r^2} a \frac{\partial^2 T(r,z,t)}{\partial z^2} + \frac{G_B c_B}{c} [T_B - T(r,z,t)] + \frac{Q_{\text{met}}}{c},
\]

(10)

where \( a = \lambda/c \) is a thermal diffusivity. Equation (10) must be supplemented by the boundary and initial conditions. Thus, on the bottom of the cylinder \((z = Z)\) the body core temperature \( T_b \) is given (the Dirichlet condition). On the top of the cylinder \((z = 0)\) the boundary condition for time less than the exposure one \((t_{\text{exp}})\) is non-homogeneous. For the area of external heat source action \((r \leq R_0 \text{ where } R_0 \text{ is a radius of flux action})\) the boundary heat flux is equal to \( q_b \). On the other part of a top surface the no-flux condition is assumed. The same condition is given on the lateral surface \((r = R)\), and for \( r \leq R_0 \) when \( t > t_{\text{exp}} \). It should be pointed out, that for the Cattaneo-Vernotte equation the form of the Neumann condition is the following

\[
q_b(r,z,t) + \tau_q \frac{\partial q_b(r,z,t)}{\partial t} = -\lambda \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla T(r,z,t),
\]

(11)

where \( \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla T(r,z,t) \) denotes a normal derivative. The initial conditions are also given

\[
t = 0: \quad T(r,z,0) = T_p, \quad \left. \frac{\partial T(r,z,t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = u(r,z),
\]

(12)

where \( T_p \) is an initial temperature, while \( u(r,z) \) is a known function.

3. NUMERICAL ALGORITHM

Let us introduce the time grid

\[
0 = t^0 < t^1 < \ldots < t^{f-2} < t^{f-1} < t^f < \ldots < t^F < \infty
\]

(13)

and the regular differential mesh with step \( h \) in which the five-points stars \( P_{i,j} \) (central node) and \( P_{i+1,j}, P_{i-1,j}, P_{i,j+1}, P_{i,j-1} \) (neighboring nodes). In Fig. 1 the geometrical model of the problem considered and five-point star is presented. To simplify the mathematical notation the local numeration of the nodes is introduced, in particular 0 (central node) and 1, 2, 3, 4 (neighboring nodes).
The FDM approximation of Eq. (10) for $f \geq 1$ is taken in the form

$$\left[ \frac{c + \tau_q G_{BCB}}{c} \right] \frac{T_0^f - T_0^{f-1}}{\Delta t} + \tau_q \frac{T_0^f - 2T_0^{f-1} + T_0^{f-2}}{\Delta t^2}$$

$$= \frac{a}{r_0} \frac{T_0^{f+1} - T_0^{f-1}}{2h} + a \frac{T_1^f + T_2^f + T_3^f + T_4^f - 4T_0^f}{h^2} + \frac{G_{BCB}}{c} T_B - \frac{G_{BCB}}{c} T_0 + \frac{Q_{\text{met}}}{c} \quad (14)$$

or

$$A_0 T_0^f - A_1 T_1^f - A_2 T_2^f - A_3 T_3^f - A_4 T_4^f = B_1 T_0^{f-1} - B_2 T_0^{f-2} + \frac{G_{BCB}}{c} T_B + \frac{Q_{\text{met}}}{c}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\frac{c + \tau_q G_{BCB}}{c} = A,$$

$$A_0 = \frac{A}{\Delta t} + \frac{G_{BCB}}{c \Delta t} + \frac{\tau_q}{\Delta t^2} + \frac{4a}{h^2},$$

$$A_1 = \frac{a}{h} \left( \frac{1}{h} + \frac{1}{2r_0} \right),$$

$$A_2 = \frac{a}{h} \left( \frac{1}{h} - \frac{1}{2r_0} \right),$$

$$A_3 = A_4 = \frac{a}{h^2},$$

$$B_1 = \frac{A}{\Delta t} + \frac{2\tau_q}{\Delta t^2},$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\tau_q}{\Delta t^2}. \quad (16)$$
In the case of the constant boundary heat flux or no-flux condition the FDM equation corresponding to formula (11) is very simple. For example, on the lateral surface \( r = R \) one has

\[
-\lambda \frac{T_0^f - T_1^f}{h} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_0^f - T_1^f = 0,
\]

(17)

etc. Assuming that for \( t = 0 \): \( T(r, z, 0) = T_p, u(r, z, 0) = 0 \) one can determine the nodal temperatures for times \( t^0 \) and \( t^1 \), namely \( T_0^0 = T_0^1 = T_p \).

4. INVERSE PROBLEM

The aim of investigations is to determine the relaxation time \( \tau_q \) and the external heat flux \( q_b \). The functional (fitness function) \( S \) is defined as follows

\[
S(\tau_q, q_b) = \frac{F}{\sum_{f=1}^{F}} \frac{K}{\sum_{k=1}^{K}} \left( \frac{T_k^f - T_{dk}^f}{2} \right)^2 \rightarrow \text{MIN},
\]

(18)

where \( T_k^f \) are the temperatures at the control points (sensors), resulting from the numerical solution of the direct problem for assumed values of \( \tau_q \) and \( q_b \), in turn \( T_{dk}^f \) are the ‘postulated’ or ‘measured’ temperatures, \( K \) is the number of sensors (here \( K = 2 \)), \( F \) is a number of time steps. The position of the sensors is marked in Fig. 1. The minimum of functional (18) is found using the evolutionary algorithm. It is an algorithm belonging to the group of artificial intelligence methods, which does not require the analysis of the impact of design variables on the identification criterion, and allows one to obtain an optimal solution with a low risk of error. In Fig. 2 the flow chart of evolutionary algorithm is presented.

![Flow chart of evolutionary algorithm.](image)

Fig. 2. Flow chart of evolutionary algorithm.

The evolutionary algorithm operates on the chromosomes population. Each chromosome contains the genes. Chromosome whose genes contain information about the identified parameters is defined in the following way

\[
p = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_q & q_b \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]

(19)
where $\tau_q$ and $q_b$ are the genes containing information about the relaxation time and external heat flux, respectively. The genes representing the possible solutions (parameters value) are obtained during operation of the EA, within the constraints

$$
\tau_q^L \leq \tau_q \leq \tau_q^H,
$$

$$
q_b^L \leq q_b \leq q_b^H,
$$

where $L$ and $H$ denote the minimum and maximum values of the limitations imposed on the identified parameters, respectively. To solve the inverse problem, using the evolutionary algorithm, house-in software was applied. In Table 1, the parameters of evolutionary algorithm used in computations are collected.

### Table 1. Evolutionary algorithm parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of generations</th>
<th>Number of chromosomes</th>
<th>Probability of uniform mutation</th>
<th>Probability of nonuniform mutation</th>
<th>Probability of arithmetic crossover</th>
<th>Probability of cloning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. RESULTS OF COMPUTATIONS

The cylindrical domain of dimensions $R = 0.03$ m, $Z = 0.03$ m is considered. The radius of the surface on which the external heat source operates is equal to $R_0 = R/4$ (Fig. 1). Thermophysical parameters of the biological tissue are the following [4]: thermal conductivity $\lambda = 0.5$ W/(mK), volumetric specific heat of tissue $c = 3$ MW/(m$^3$K), blood perfusion rate $G_B = 0.002$ l/s, volumetric specific heat of blood $c_B = 3.9962$ MW/(m$^3$K), blood temperature $T_B = 37^\circ$C, metabolic heat source $Q_m = 245$ W/m$^3$. The different values of relaxation time and external heat flux have been considered, the solution presented in Fig. 3 corresponds to the case 1 (see Table 2). Thus, in order to verify the correctness of an evolutionary algorithm, the identification task was solved repeatedly. The results of inverse problem solutions are collected in Table 2. It was assumed that the constraints for the identified parameters, for all cases, belong to the intervals

$$
1 \leq \tau_q \leq 5 \text{ s}
$$

$$
-10000 \leq q_b \leq -1000 \text{ W/m}^2.
$$

### Table 2. Result of computations using the EA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Design variable</th>
<th>Found value</th>
<th>Error [%]</th>
<th>Fitness function value (Eq. (18))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\tau_q$ [s],  $q_b$ [W/m$^2$]</td>
<td>2.0818, -5004.37</td>
<td>4.09, 0.0884</td>
<td>0.07895255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$\tau_q$ [s],  $q_b$ [W/m$^2$]</td>
<td>2.1308, -5005.27</td>
<td>6.54, 0.1054</td>
<td>0.08013317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$\tau_q$ [s],  $q_b$ [W/m$^2$]</td>
<td>2.1241, -5012.23</td>
<td>6.21, 0.2446</td>
<td>0.08522016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>$\tau_q$ [s],  $q_b$ [W/m$^2$]</td>
<td>2.1201, -4998.94</td>
<td>6.01, 0.0212</td>
<td>0.08243718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\tau_q$ [s],  $q_b$ [W/m$^2$]</td>
<td>2.1018, -5005.39</td>
<td>5.09, 0.1078</td>
<td>0.07896257</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In Fig. 3 an example of the direct problem solution is shown, this means the temperature history in control points indicated in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 3. The temperature history (heating/cooling curves) at the control points.](image)

Figures 4–7 present the identification process using the evolutionary algorithm after 1st, 10th, 50th and 100th generations, respectively, for case 1 (cf. Table 2). The ‘◯’ symbol shows the location

![Fig. 4. The location of potential solutions after 1st generation.](image)

![Fig. 5. The location of potential solutions after 10th generations.](image)
of potential solutions (chromosomes) in the space of acceptable solutions (based on constraints (21)). In turn, the optimal solution for the identified parameters is indicated by the ‘×’ symbol, i.e. $\tau_q = 2 \, \text{s}$, $q_b = -5000 \, \text{W/m}^2$. Figure 8 shows the course of the fitness function for the best chromosome at each generation, obtained for the case 1 (cf. Table 2).
6. Final Remarks

The application of evolutionary algorithms for the solutions of identification problems is (from the numerical point of view) essentially time-consuming. The computations have been performed using the computer with a processor Intel® Core™ i7 CPU 950 @3.07 GHz with 12 GB RAM. The time necessary to carry out one identification process was equal several hours. The reduction of the identification time can be obtained by the computations parallelizing. Summing up, the solution of the inverse problem discussed in this paper is quite satisfactory. On the other hand, however, the mathematical and numerical problems connected with the adequate algorithm construction seem to be essentially simpler in comparison with the very popular gradient methods. The exactness of parameters $\tau_q$ and $q_b$ estimation is quite satisfying.

The solutions of the direct problems have been found using the three-level implicit scheme of the FDM. The system of equations corresponding to the transition from time $t$ to time $t + \Delta t$ has been solved iteratively (the Gauss iteration method). The additional testing calculations confirmed that the assumed spatial-time mesh is dense enough.
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